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ABSTRACT

In this study, the adsorption of Reactive blue 19 onto pomegranate residual-based activated carbon was investigated in aqueous solution. The activated carbon prepared by phosphoric acid activation under air condition. PRAC was characterized for its surface chemistry by point of zero charge measurements, Scanning Electron Microscopy and nitrogen adsorption at 77 K. The effect of operational parameters including contact time, initial pH, adsorbent dose, initial dye concentration and particle size were studied. Results showed that an optimum dye removal efficiency (96.7%) was observed at initial pH=11, contact time of 5 min, adsorbent dose of 3.25 g/L, initial dye concentration of 200 mg/L, and particle size of 63-149µm. The adsorption process was found to follow the Temkin isotherm equation ($R^2 = 0.975$) and second-order kinetic model ($R^2 = 0.999$). Furthermore, thermodynamic parameters such as $\Delta G^\circ$, $\Delta H^\circ$, and $\Delta S^\circ$ were calculated. Prog. Color Colorants Coat. 7(2014), 245-257 © Institute for Color Science and Technology.

1. Introduction

Dyes are water-soluble and intensely colored substances used for the coloration of various substrates, including paper, leather, hair, food, and textiles [1]. About 10-15% of these dyes are released in effluents during dyeing processes [2]. Color removal from industry or domestic effluents has drawn considerable attention in the last few years because of its toxicity and visibility.

Adsorption process has been found to be a prominent method of treating aqueous effluent in industrial processes for a variety of separation and purification purpose [3]. For color removal, this
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technique also found to be highly efficient in terms of initial cost, simplicity of design, ease of operation and insensitivity to toxic substances [4]. Adsorption using activated carbon, due to its high specific surface area and adequate pore size distribution, is currently of great interest for removal of different types of dyes and pigments. A wide range of raw lignocellulosic materials such as coal, peat, wood and various agricultural by-products have been utilized for the preparation of activated carbon [5]. Pomegranate is an important fruit crop of many tropical and subtropical regions of the world, grown especially in the moderate climates of Mediterranean countries like Iran. It is widely consumed fresh and in processed forms as juice and jams. Pomegranate residual is a by-product of the pomegranate juice factory. Several studies have been conducted on preparing activated carbon from pomegranate peel or seed [6-9]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no research about activated carbon prepared from pomegranate residual (include seed and peel) via activation by phosphoric acid at 500 °C. Phosphoric acid was chosen as it has become a widely accepted chemical activator as an alternative to zinc chloride due to environmental concerns and its easily recovery [10].

The main aim of the present study is investigation of the application activated carbon for removal of Reactive Blue 19 from aqueous solution. The activated carbon is prepared from pomegranate residual by chemical activation with minimum phosphoric acid (25 wt.%) at lowest temperature (1 hr at 500 °C). For this purpose, the effect of different parameters such as contact time, initial pH, adsorbent dose, initial dye concentration and particle size was surveyed in addition to characterize the prepared activated carbon. Isotherm, kinetic, and thermodynamic of adsorption process was also studied.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation and characterization of activated carbon

In this study, pomegranate residual was collected from Meykhosh juice industry in Yazd/Iran. Pomegranate residual was dried in an oven for 2 h at 100 °C until a constant weight was reached. It was ground in a ball mill, passed through No. 8 mesh sieve, and then collected for the subsequent examination. The powder was soaked in 25 wt% phosphoric acid (ratio of 1:1 w/v) at room temperature for 24 h. The sample is then decanted, dried in a muffle furnace under air condition for 1 h at 500 °C. Then the samples were washed sequentially several times with hot distilled water to reach neutral pH. Finally, pomegranate residual-based activated carbon (PRAC) powder was prepared and sieved into two particle sizes (PRAC1: 63-149µm (mesh 230-100) and PRAC2: 149-297µm (mesh 100-50).

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to characterize the morphology of the samples using a XL-C model SEM analyzer (Philips, Netherlands). The textural properties of adsorbents were also analyzed by adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77K using an Autosorb 1 analyzer (Quantachrome Corporation, USA). The specific surface area was calculated by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method which is named S_{BET}. The FTIR spectrum of PRAC was achieved by Perkin-Elmer Spectrophotometer Spectrum One in the range of 400-4000 cm^{-1}. For the determination of point of zero charge (PZC) of PRAC, the instruction of Calvete et al. (2009) was used [11].

For this test, 0.05 M NaCl was prepared and its initial pH was adjusted between 2 and 12 using 1 M NaOH and HCl. Then, 50 ml of 0.05 M NaCl was poured in 250 ml beakers and 0.05 g of PRAC was added to each solution. These beakers were kept for 48 hours and the final pH of the solutions was measured with a pH meter.

2.2. Preparation of dye-containing solutions and experimental procedure

Dye solution was prepared by dissolving Reactive blue 19 (RB19) which was provided by the Alvan Sabet Company. The chemical structure and characteristics of the selected dye is presented in Table 1. For the preparation of synthetic wastewater, a stock dye solution of 1000 mg/L was prepared in distilled water and then diluted to the range of 100 and 500 mg/L according to actual concentration of textile wastewater in Iran [12]. The solution pH measurement was carried out using a 340i/SET pH meter (WTW-Germany) and was adjusted by 1M hydrochloric acid or 1M sodium hydroxide. The dye solution and adsorbent was agitated by a jar test at 150 rpm at ambient temperature. A six beaker jar test apparatus from Zag-Chemi Company in Iran was used to simulate the adsorption process.
Table 1: Chemical structure and properties of RB19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>C_{22}H_{16}N_{2}Na_{2}O_{11}S_{3}</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</td>
<td>594</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight (MW)</td>
<td>626.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1: SEM images of used PRAC sample (a) before and (b) after adsorption process.](image)

All samples were filtered through glass fibre filters GF/A (pore size: 4 µm). The clear supernatants were analyzed for RB19 dye concentrations using a UV-vis HACH spectrophotometer (DR/4000).

Percentage of dye removal was calculated by equation (1).

\[
\text{Dye removal (\%) = } \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100
\]

where $C_0$ and $C_t$ (mg/L) are the initial dye concentration and concentration at time $t$ (min), respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of PRAC

SEM images (Figure 1) show the morphology of PRAC samples before and after the adsorption process. From Figure 1 it is clear that PRAC has numerous pores which are the accessible sorption sites for dye uptake. The results of the BET method showed that the average $S_{\text{BET}}$ of PRAC was 425.50 m²g⁻¹, which is higher than earlier pomegranate activated carbon [7]. Typical values of BET for commercial activated carbons were usually between 400 and 1500 m²g⁻¹ [13].

FTIR spectra of the PRAC samples before and after activation and adsorption are shown in Figure 2. In the FTIR spectra of PRAC before activation, the band at 3395.46 cm⁻¹ is due to O-H and N-H stretching. While the bands at 2926.91 and 1729.10 cm⁻¹ reflect C-H stretching and C=O, respectively. The bands at 1613.51 and 1230.39 cm⁻¹ correspond to N-H bending and phosphorous-containing group P=O stretching, respectively.

Figure 2 also shows the spectra before and after adsorption. In the FTIR spectra of PRAC before adsorption, the band at 3395.46 cm⁻¹ is due to O-H and N-H stretching. While the bands at 2926.91 and 1729.10 cm⁻¹ reflect C-H stretching and C=O, respectively. The bands at 1613.51 and 1230.39 cm⁻¹ correspond to N-H bending and phosphorous-containing group P=O stretching, respectively.
1616.71 and 1204.81 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to N-H bending and phosphorous-containing group P=O stretching, respectively.

The intensity of all peaks clearly decreased after the RB 19 adsorption, indicating that O-H, N-H, C-H, and phosphorous-containing group were involved in the RB 19 adsorption. The peak positions at 3432.43, 2922.73, 2851.89, 1616.71, and 1204.81 cm\(^{-1}\) before adsorption shifted to 3432.03, 2923.72, 2852.7, 1614.25, and 1230.35 cm\(^{-1}\) after adsorption, respectively.

The final pH values were plotted against the initial pH in Figure 3. The pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\) is the point where the curve corresponding to Final pH versus Initial pH crosses the line in which the initial pH = final pH.

As shown in Figure 3, pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\) of PRAC is considered equal to 2.43. The surface charge of PRAC is neutral at pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\) below which the adsorbent surface is positively charged. Above the pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\), the adsorbent surface is negatively charged [14]. Points of zero charges (pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\)) of the activated carbons produced from pomegranate seeds were found to be in the range of 7.35 and 9.41 [9].

![Figure 2: The FTIR spectra of samples before and after activation and adsorption.](image-url)
3.2. Influencing factors

3.2.1. Effect of contact time
The effect of contact time on RB19 adsorption efficiency and adsorption capacity is presented in Figure 4. The experiments of this step were done in the conditions of constant initial concentration of 200 mg/L, PRAC dose of 3.25 g/L, and pH 11. The adsorption efficiency and the adsorption capacity increased with agitation time. The initial rate of dye uptake was fast, so that the equilibrium was reached rapidly.

This may be due to the fact that the structure of PRAC has much higher micro- and macro-porosities. Similar results have been reported in literature on the extent of removal of dyes [13, 14]. It was shown that 89.16% and 96.65% removal of RB19 occurred after 1 and 5 minutes, respectively. In addition, after 5 minutes no significant changes were observed. Moreover, as shown in Figure 4, dye adsorption decreased as the particle size was increased, hence, the percentage of the removed dye was also decreased.

3.2.2. Effect of initial pH
The effect of initial pH was studied by performing the adsorption experiments at different pH levels, i.e. 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 9.0 and 11.0. According to pH\textsubscript{PZC} value, PRAC surface is negatively charged (pH > pH\textsubscript{PZC}). As shown in Figure 5, pH 11 had higher removal efficiency, whereas, less efficient results were achieved by pH 7.

At neutral pH, there is a possibility of oxidation of the surface oxygen complexes, which may impart positive charge to the activated carbon surface [16]. Similar results have been reported in literature on the extent of removal of dyes [17-19]. Figure 5 shows the same behaviour of PRAC1 and PRAC2 as a function of pH. However, PRAC2 dye removal efficiency is less than PRAC1 under same conditions.
Figure 4: Effect of contact time on RB19 removal efficiency (initial pH=11, adsorbent dose =3.25 g/L, and initial dye concentration=200 mg/L).

Figure 5: Effect of initial pH on RB19 removal efficiency (contact time=5 min, adsorbent dose=3.25 g/L, and initial dye concentration=200 mg/L).
3. 2. 3. Effect of adsorbent dosage

Adsorbent dosage is an important parameter for the adsorption process because this determines the capacity of an adsorbent for a given initial concentration of the adsorbate. The effect of PRAC dose on the adsorption of RB19 dye is presented in Figure 6. The result shows that the adsorption of RB19 dye increases up to a certain limit and then it remains constant.

An increase in adsorption with adsorbent dosage can be attributed to the increased surface area and the availability of more adsorption sites. Similar results have been reported in previous studies [12, 20]. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 6, for a given adsorbent dose, the dye adsorption decreased as the particle size increased.

3. 2. 4. Effect of initial dye concentration

Figure 7 shows the effect of RB19 dye concentration on the dye uptake. The adsorption percentage was found to decrease with increasing initial dye concentration. But the amount of adsorbate per unit mass of the adsorbent (Q) increased considerably so that the maximum amounts of Q were 104.3 and 59.6 mg/g for PRAC1 and PRAC2, respectively.

This may be due to the saturation of surface area and active sites of the adsorbent. Similar results were reported by Kannan and Sundaram (2001) for methylene blue adsorption on various activated carbons and Amin (2008) for reactive dye adsorption on sugarcane bagasse pith activated carbon [14, 21].

In Table 2 the maximum adsorption capacity of PRAC is compared with previous reported adsorbents for RB19 dye removal. As can be seen from Table 2, PRAC has high adsorption capacity of RB 19 from aqueous solution.

As shown in Figure 7, when the particle size decreased from 149 µm to 63 µm, the adsorption increased from 59.6 to 104.3 mg/g. The results suggest that the dye molecules do not completely penetrate into the particle. For larger particles, the diffusion resistance to mass transfer was great and the majority of the internal surface of the particle may not have been used for adsorption. Thus, the amount of adsorbed dye was minimal. Dye molecules preferentially adsorbed near the outer surface of the particle. The similar result was observed in previous studies [25, 26].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbents</th>
<th>Using form</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity (mg/g)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood waste</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried pulp and paper sludge</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>33.11</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp and paper sludge</td>
<td>Treated (with ZnCl₂)</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp and paper sludge</td>
<td>Acid treated (with H₂SO₄)</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp and paper sludge</td>
<td>Basic treated (with NaOH)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic oxygen furnace slag</td>
<td>Acid treated (with HCl)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAC</td>
<td>Activated carbon by H₃PO₄ activation</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6: Effect of adsorbent dose on RB19 removal efficiency (contact time=5 min, initial pH=11, and initial dye concentration=200 mg/L).

Figure 7: Effect of initial dye concentration on RB19 removal efficiency (contact time=5 min, initial pH=11, and adsorbent dose =3.25 g/L).
3. 3. Adsorption isotherms studies
To optimize the design of an adsorption system for the adsorption of adsorbates, it is important to establish the most appropriate correlation for the equilibrium curves. Langmuir, Freundlich, and Tempkin isotherm models were used to describe the non-linear equilibrium relationship between adsorption of the dye onto the activated carbon. Adsorption isotherm studies were carried out with different initial RB19 concentrations ranging from 150 to 500 mg/L, while the adsorbent dosage and pH were kept constant at 3.25 g/L and 11, respectively. The applicability of the isotherm models to the adsorption study was compared by judging the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) values.

3. 3. 1. Langmuir isotherm:
It is used to describe that uptake of dye molecules occurs on a completely homogenous surface by monolayer adsorption with negligible interaction between adsorbed molecules [6]. The Langmuir equation is presented in the linear form in equation (2):

$$\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m} + \frac{1}{q_m bC_e}$$

(2)

where $q_e$ is the amount of adsorbate per amount of adsorbent at the equilibrium (mg/g), $q_m$ is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g), $b$ is adsorption equilibrium constant related to the free energy of adsorption (L/mg) and $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration (mg/L).

3. 3. 2. Freundlich isotherm:
It is derived by assuming a heterogeneous surface with a non-uniform distribution of heat of adsorption over the surface. The Freundlich equation is presented in the linear form in equation (3):

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$

(3)

where $K_F$ is an indicator of the adsorption capacity (L/mg) and $n$ is adsorption intensity.

3. 3. 3. Temkin isotherm:
This isotherm assumes that: (1) the heat of adsorption of all the molecules in the layer decreases linearly with coverage due to adsorbent/adsorbate interactions and (2) the adsorption is characterized by a uniform distribution of binding energies up to a maximum binding energy [27]. The Temkin isotherm equation is given in equation (4):

$$q_e = B_1 \ln K_T + B_1 \ln C_e$$

(4)

where $B_1=R/T$ and $T$ is the absolute temperature (K), $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $K_T$ is the equilibrium binding constant (L/mg).

Isotherm constants and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) are also presented in Table 3. The results illustrated that the Temkin adsorption isotherm was the best model ($R^2=0.975$) for dye adsorption on PRAC. This is in good agreement with FTIR results before and after adsorption. As was shown in FTIR analyzes, several chemical groups were involved in the RB19 adsorption

3. 4. Adsorption kinetics studies
Several models can be used to express the mechanism of solute adsorption onto an adsorbent. In order to develop a fast and effective model, investigations were made on adsorption rate. For the examination of the controlling mechanisms of the adsorption process (such as chemical reaction, diffusion control and mass transfer), several kinetics models are used to test the experimental data [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm type</th>
<th>Isotherm constants</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>$q_m=126.582$, $b=0.0528$</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>$K_F=4.142$ (L/mg), $n=3.323$</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temkin</td>
<td>$K_T=1.042$ (L/mg), $B_1=22.354$</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this study, four kinetic models (the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich, as well as intraparticle diffusion kinetic models) were used to fit the experimental data from the adsorption of RB19 dye onto PRAC at various pH values ranging from 3 to 11. For the pseudo-first-order model, a linear equation is obtained as follows:

$$
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303} t
$$

(5)

where $q_e$ (mg/g) and $q_t$ (mg/g) are the sorption capacities at equilibrium and time $t$, respectively, and $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) is the rate constant. The pseudo-second-order model is presented by equation (6):

$$
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e}
$$

(6)

Where $k_2$ is the pseudo-second-order rate constant of adsorption (g/mg. min). The simple Elovich model equation is expressed by equation (7):

$$
q_t = a + b \ln t
$$

(7)

The slope and intercept of plot of $q$ vs. $\ln(t)$ were used to calculate constants $a$ and $b$. The intraparticle diffusion model is explored by using equation (8):

$$
q_t = K_{\text{diff}} t^{0.5} + C
$$

(8)

where $C$ and $K_{\text{diff}}$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-0.5}$) are the intercept and the intraparticle diffusion rate constant, respectively.

Kinetics constants and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) for this study are presented in Table 4. Results demonstrated that the pseudo-second-order was the best model ($R^2=0.999$) for RB19 adsorption on PRAC. Furthermore, the calculated $q_e$ value of pseudo second-order equation was compared with the experimental $q_e$ value; the calculated $q_e$ value is much close to the experimental $q_e$ value (Table 5). Therefore, based on accuracy of model, the adsorption kinetics of RB19 was well described by pseudo second-order chemical reaction; this reaction was found significant in the rate-controlling step. As was shown in FT-IR analyzes before and after adsorption, several chemical groups were involved in the RB 19 adsorption. The mechanism of adsorption can also be described as chemisorptions, involving valence forces through sharing or the ion exchange of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate as covalent forces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Pseudo-first-order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
<th>Elovich</th>
<th>Intraparticle diffusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_1$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$k_2$ (g/mg. min)</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Adsorption kinetics constants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$k_2$ (g/mg. min)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$q_{exp}$</th>
<th>$q_{cal}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>49.93</td>
<td>49.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>30.63</td>
<td>30.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>38.08</td>
<td>37.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>51.94</td>
<td>51.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: Adsorption kinetics constants (Pseudo-second-order).**
3.5. Adsorption Thermodynamics

The thermodynamic parameters such as change in free (Gibbs) energy ($\Delta G^\circ$: kJ/mol), enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$ : kJ/mol), and entropy ($\Delta S^\circ$ : kJ/(mol.K)) were determined using the following equations [29]:

$$K_c = \frac{C_A}{C_S}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\ln K_c = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $R$ (8.314 J/(mol.K)), $T$ (K), $C_A$, $C_S$, and $K_c$ (L/g) are the gas constant, the absolute temperature, the amount of dye adsorbed on the adsorbent of the solution at equilibrium (mol/L), the equilibrium concentration of the dye in the solution (mol/L), and the standard thermodynamic equilibrium constant, respectively. According to equation (10), $\Delta H^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$ can be calculated from the slopes and intercepts of the plot of $\ln K_c$ versus $1/T$, respectively.

Thermodynamic parameters were calculated by equation (9-11). Table 6 shows the negative values of $\Delta G^\circ$ and positive $\Delta H^\circ$. Data indicate that the RB19 adsorption processes are endothermic reactions. The positive value of $\Delta S^\circ$ suggests increased randomness at the solid/solution interface occurs in the internal structure of the adsorption of RB19 dye onto PRAC. The positive values of $\Delta H^\circ$ indicate the presence of an energy barrier in the adsorption process and endothermic process [30].

The change in free energy for physisorption and chemisorption are between -20 and 0 kJ/mol and -80 to -400 kJ/mol, respectively [31]. The values of $\Delta G^\circ$ in Table 6 are within the ranges of -20 and 0 kJ/mol, indicating that the physisorption is the dominating mechanism.

### Table 6: Thermodynamic parameters of the dye adsorption on PRAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ (kJ/(mol K))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-2.568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-4.216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-5.865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-7.513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ (kJ/(mol K))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

In this study, pomegranate residual activated carbon (PRAC) has been prepared by the chemical activation of jute sticks using $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ and used for removing RB19 from aqueous solution. The BET method showed that the average $S_{\text{BET}}$ of PRAC was 425.50 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. Furthermore, the determined point of zero charge was 2.43, which is considered as the $\text{pH}_{\text{PZC}}$ of PRAC. Rapid adsorption was observed at initial contact time and then became constant after 5 minutes. The results indicated that the adsorbent particle size has an important influence on the maximum uptake, i.e. it increased from 59.6 to 104.3 mg/g when the particle size decreased from 149 µm to 63 µm.

The optimum dye removal efficiency (96.7%) was observed at initial pH 11, contact time of 5 minutes, adsorbent dose of 3.25 g/L, initial dye concentration of 200 mg/L, and particle size of 63-149 µm. Finally, isotherm studies revealed that the Temkin model ($R^2=0.975$) yields a somewhat better fit than the Langmuir and the Freundlich models. Kinetics studies also showed that the adsorption of the dye followed second-order kinetics ($R^2=0.999$). Thermodynamic studies indicated that the dye adsorption onto PRAC was a spontaneous, endothermic, and physical reaction.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Amirkabir University of Technology for the financial support. The authors also wish to express their thanks to Ms. Lida Ezzedinloo in Laboratory of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, for her assistance during experiments; and Ms. Armineh Azizi and Ms. Shabnam Sadri Moghaddam (PhD students) for their...
guidance during the research.

5. References


